

## CA-PMM

Project Name: CWS/Web

OCIO Project #: 0530-208

Department: Office of Systems Integration

Revision Date: 5/7/09

## Status Report

### Progress Report -- Team Member to Project Manager

#### Current Task Summary

Task or Deliverable	Scheduled Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Issues?
<b>Accomplished this week</b>			
<b>Planned/Scheduled Completion in Next Two Weeks</b>			
<b>Status Summary</b>	<b>Yes/No</b>	<b>Explanation</b>	
Will all assigned tasks be accomplished by their due date?			
Are there any planned tasks that won't be completed?			
Are there problems which affect your ability to accomplish assigned tasks?			
Do you plan to take time off that is not currently scheduled?			

## CA-PMM

**Project Name:** CWS/Web

**OCIO Project #:** 0530-208

**Department:** Office of Systems Integration

**Revision Date:** 5/7/09

## Status Report

### Status of Assigned Issues

Issue Number	Description	Due Date	Status

---

### Status Report – Project Manager to Sponsor

---

#### Current Status Report

Questions	Yes/No	Cause	Impact	Action Required
1. Were recent milestones completed on schedule?	Yes			
2. Were any key milestones or deliverables rescheduled?	No			
3. Was work done that was not planned?	No			
4. Were there any changes to scope?	No			
5. Were tasks added that were not originally estimated?	No			
6. Were any tasks or milestones removed?	No			
7. Were any scheduled tasks not started?	No			
8. Are there any new major issues?	No			
9. Are there any staffing problems?	No			

## CA-PMM

Project Name: CWS/Web

OCIO Project #: 0530-208

Department: Office of Systems Integration

Revision Date: 5/7/09

## Status Report

### Look Ahead View

Questions	Yes/No	Impact	Action Required
1. Will upcoming critical path milestones or deliverables be delayed?	No		
2. Do any key milestones or deliverables need to be rescheduled?	No		
3. Is there any unplanned work that needs to be done?	No		
4. Are there any expected or recommended changes to scope?	No		
5. Are there any tasks not originally estimated that will need to be added?	No		
6. Are there any tasks or milestones that should be removed from the plan?	No		
7. Are there any scheduled tasks whose start will likely be delayed?	No		
8. Are any major new issues foreseeable?	No		
9. Are any staffing problems anticipated?	Yes	If requested FY09/10 positions are not approved, the project will not be adequately staffed to meet DGS procurement requirements upon release of the RFP.	Delay release of the RFP

## CA-PMM

Project Name: CWS/Web

OCIO Project #: 0530-208

Department: Office of Systems Integration

Revision Date: 5/7/09

## Status Report

### Current Status and Accomplishments:

*Describe deliverables completed and milestones met during **this reporting period**.*

Management review of the IAPD and the End-to-End review of the RFP Version 0.4 was completed. The As-Needed APDU was completed and forwarded to ACF for approval.

### Project Milestones:

*List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule.*

Milestone	Target Date	Forecast Date	Status	Cause & Impact to Implementation Date	Date Completed
ACF Approval of Final IAPD Version 1.0	8/15/09	8/15/09			
ACF Approval of RFP Version 1.0	8/15/09	8/15/09			
Agency Approval of SPR	7/14/09	7/14/09			

### Variances

Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance".

	On Plan <5%	Caution 5-10%	Significant Variance >10%	Action Required
Schedule	X			
Milestones	X			
Deliverables	X			
Resources	X			
OneTime Cost	X			
Continuing Cost	X			

## CA-PMM

Project Name: CWS/Web

OCIO Project #: 0530-208

Department: Office of Systems Integration

Revision Date: 5/7/09

## Status Report

### Status Reports – Sponsor to Steering Committee

#### Summary Milestones and Highlights

<b>Project Milestones:</b> <i>List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule. Explain in issues section if a milestone's status is behind.</i>					
Milestone	Target Date	Forecast Date	Status	If Delayed, Impact to Implementation Date	Date Completed
ACF Approval of Final IAPD Version 1.0	8/15/09	8/15/09			
ACF Approval of RFP Version 1.0	8/15/09	8/15/09			
Agency Approval of SPR	7/14/09	7/14/09			

<b>Variances</b> Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance". <i>* Priority of schedule, scope, budget, and quality from Final Ranking established in the Priority Analysis</i>				
	On Plan <5%	Caution 5-10%	Significant Variance >10%	Action Required
Schedule	X			
Milestones	X			
Deliverables	X			
Resources	X			
One Time Cost	X			
Continuing Cost	X			

Project Name: CWS/WebOCIO Project #: 0530-208Department: Office of Systems IntegrationRevision Date: 5/7/09

## Status Report

## Monitoring Vital Signs Scorecard

Vital Sign	Variance	Value	Your Score
1. Customer Buy-In	High Degree of Buy-In	0	1
	Medium Degree of Buy-In	1	
	Low Degree of Buy-In	2	
2. Technology Viability	Strong Viability	0	0
	Medium Viability	1	
	Weak Viability	2	
3. Status of the Critical Path (delay)	<5%	0	0
	5% to 10%	1	
	>10%	2	
4. Cost-to-Date vs. Estimated Cost-to-Date (higher)	<5%	0	0
	5% to 10%	1	
	>10%	2	
5. High-Probability, High-Impact Risks	0 to 3	0	1
	4 to 6	1	
	>6	2	
6. Unresolved Issues (on time resolution)	On time	0	1
	Late with no impact	1	
	Late impacting the critical path	2	
7. Sponsorship Commitment	Fully engaged	0	0
	Partially engaged	1	
	Inadequate enagement	2	
8. Strategy Alignment	Strong alignment	0	0
	Partial alignment	1	
	Weak or no alignment	2	
9. Value-to-Business	Strong	0	0
	Medium	1	
	Weak	2	

## CA-PMM

**Project Name:** CWS/Web

OCIO Project #: 0530-208

**Department:** Office of Systems Integration

Revision Date: 5/7/09

## Status Report

10. Vendor Viability (provide rationale for the rating in the field following the scorecard)	Strong	0	0
	Medium	1	
	Weak	2	
11. Milestone Hit Rate (rate of achievement as planned)	>90% on time	0	0
	80-90% on time	1	
	<80% on time	2	
12. Deliverable Hit Rate (rate of production as planned)	>90% on time	0	0
	80-90% on time	1	
	<80% on time	2	
13. Actual vs. Planned Resources	>90% assigned and available	0	0
	80-90% assigned and available	1	
	<80% assigned and available	2	
14. Overtime Utilization (% of effort that is overtime)	<15%	0	0
	15-25%	1	
	>25%	2	
15. Team Effectiveness	Highly Effective	0	0
	Moderately Effective	1	
	Ineffective	2	
Total			3

Green = 0 - 8  
Yellow = 9 - 19  
Red = 20+

## Vendor Viability Rating Rationale